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The exhibition *Salazar: Portraits of Influence in Spanish New Orleans, 1785–1802* was as rich in its display of material culture and archival documentation as it was ambitious in its treatment of a cross-border, multicultural topic (fig. 1).[1] Organized to coincide with the 300th anniversary of the founding of the city of New Orleans by the French crown, the exhibition offered a monographic study of the portrait artist Josef Francisco Xavier de Salazar y Mendoza (ca. 1750–1802). Born in Mérida, Yucatán, Mexico, Salazar emigrated to Spanish-ruled New Orleans in 1784, becoming one of the most renowned and successful artists in the city. His work captured the New Orleans high society of the late eighteenth century, when the city was administered by Spanish rulers. The exhibition also includes a selection of portraits made by later New Orleans artists from the Ogden Museum’s collection as a coda to this homage to Salazar.

In response to the question, “Salazar, Why Now?,” guest curator Cybèle Gontar replies that the exhibition “helps to broaden our perspective on what we deem Southern art” (9). This is the conclusion of her first essay in the exhibition catalogue, where she discusses the urgency of studying the portraits as “a material fragment of a past community—internationally diverse, yet closely networked,” within the frame of New Southern studies (9). This field has emerged from the recent shift toward a multicultural paradigm in American art history. New Southern studies seeks to remap the history of American art’s bias for Anglo-American and Eastern Seaboard culture. According to Gontar, “New Southern studies insists that regionally and culturally the South has never stood alone; it must be reexamined in a postcolonial context, especially considering its intrinsic ties with the Caribbean and Central and South America” (10). Salazar presents a fascinating case study because of his engagement in the circum-Atlantic world reaching the West Indies, Mexico, Central America, Spain, and France.
The first gallery of the exhibition welcomed visitors with an impressive array of diverse materials (fig. 2). There were maps, portraits, archival records, *casta* or *breed* paintings, and even a so-called “ormolu clock,” meaning a gilded clock. The purpose of this room was to situate the visitor in Salazar’s time in Louisiana. The text panel informed visitors of Spain’s acquisition of Louisiana in 1762, with the Treaty of Fontainebleau, and the grant of the territory of the western Mississippi River Valley in 1763, with the Treaty of Paris. A turbulent period followed in which the Spanish authorities struggled to control the Louisiana colony, which was mainly populated by French Creoles, Indians, and African slaves. The colony was both rebellious and a financial drain to the Spanish administration. It was governor Alejandro O’Reilly and later Bernardo de Gálvez who imposed Spanish rule and set a program of measures to rationalize the colony and make it financially sustainable. One of these measures was to welcome foreigners with contracts and land grants. Salazar emigrated to New Orleans in this period of mass migration to the city.

Fig. 2, Installation view of the first gallery with the reproduction of the *casta* painting *De albina y español, nace torna atrás* (From an Albino Woman and a Spaniard, a Return-backwards Is Born), ca. 1785–90, to the left, and the case with the ledgers and Ormolu clock at the center.

The displays in the first gallery, because of their seeming function to provide broad context, should be read as historical documents. The first map to the left, made in 1774 for British army officers, shows the Spanish North American territories and their links to the Caribbean and New Spain at the time. The two portraits to the right of the text panel feature Salazar’s likeness of the Spanish Missouri Surveyor and later army commander, Martín Milony Duralde, and that of Governor William Charles Cole Claiborne, by an unidentified artist, from around 1810 (fig. 3). Claiborne served as Louisiana’s first non-colonial governor of the Territory of Orleans from 1804 to 1812. Worth noticing is the caption label for Milony Duralde’s portrait, which reproduces a miniature portrait of his wife owned by The Historic New Orleans Collection, which expresses the desire by the curator to reveal the social and institutional ties among the subjects represented in the exhibition (fig. 4). Opposite the entrance hung an enlarged reproduction of the *casta/breed* painting *De albina y español, nace torna atrás* (From an Albino Woman and a Spaniard, a Return-backwards Is Born), used to exemplify how a portrait studio worked in colonial Mexico (see fig. 2). In the image, the artist is painting a portrait of his wife, who is sitting to the left of the painting, the son of the artist is handling an engraving, while a fourth person is cleaning an engraving plate.
The other side of the room introduced Salazar’s Mérida, Yucatán, and New Orleans (fig. 5). The text panel related the family’s connection to the Cathedral of San Ildefonso in Mérida, a religious building that held a significant art collection originally from Spain (likely brought by one of the cathedral’s archbishops). This collection might have inspired the young artist to pursue painting as a career. In addition to this early stimulus, Salazar certainly received training in the Yucatán area, as the text panel revealed that he used a red ground layer, like the other painters of the area did at the time. Next to the text appeared a map of the Gulf of Mexico (1707), and a map of Louisiana that included a plan of the city of New Orleans (1762). The pastel portrait of Francisco Domingo Joseph Bouligny, Spanish surveyor and strategist in the colonial army, completed the wall display. The center of the room presented two cases containing ledgers and a clock. One ledger registered the census of New Orleans from 1791, which shows that Salazar was recorded in it as a painter. The other ledger is an inventory of the artist’s belongings at the time of his death in August 1802. The ormolu clock referred to the one listed in the inventory and served not only as an illustration of the object Salazar possessed but also offered a glimpse into his daily material world.

The next gallery began by addressing Salazar’s portraits proper (fig. 6). The text panel described his artistic production in terms of New Spanish portraits due to his incorporation of...
naïve painting qualities, formal poses, and cartouches to add text. Characteristic of Salazar’s work are the aforementioned red ground layer, the framing of the subject with an oval device, and the addition of props and letters that the subject handles. The map of New Orleans, made in December 1794, shows that a quarter of the city buildings were destroyed in that year’s fire (fig. 7). This catastrophe followed a previous fire in 1788, and may help explain why so few portraits by Salazar survive. Next to the map, the reproduction of the Tremoulet’s pendant portraits were hung adjacent to a reproduction of an invoice for four portraits that Salazar executed for the DeJan family, charging between twenty and thirty pesos for each work and two extra pesos for the canvas and its wooden frame (fig. 8). Additionally, the St Louis Cathedral Finance Trustee Account Book (June 1756–May 1801) features an entry from December 2, 1800, showing that Salazar painted on commission a coat of arms of the Pope (fig. 9). The caption label uses this fact to hypothesize whether Salazar was called to New Orleans as a painter of official portraits and designer of official events.

There were several fine examples of Salazar’s portraiture in this room. The painted likenesses of Madame Michiel Fortier II and Marie Félicité Julie Fortier, show a mother and
daughter in an elegant environment (fig. 10). Posing in contained, three quarter profiles, both sitters are dressed in the latest French fashion launched by Queen Marie Antoinette, with their white chemises with frilled sleeves, and their loose semi-powdered hair. The mother, who gazes at the viewer, holds a peach, a symbol of fertility, while the daughter, looking up to her mother, holds roses and star jasmine. There is a table to their right, and a green curtain swag transitions the view into an open landscape with a cloudy sky. This feature in the background is something infrequent in the work of Salazar, as most of his portraits present a neutral brownish background without any sense of real space. Next to this double portrait hung a case with four portrait miniatures of members of the Fortier family, made not by Salazar but in France, revealing the family’s close cultural ties to their country of origin. Finally, the portrait of James Mather, hanging next to the account book, reveals something new about Salazar’s work. The painting is signed “Josef De Salazar pinx,” meaning that Salazar painted the portrait. The use of “pinxit” is a convention from the early Renaissance that links Salazar to the claims that creole painters in Mexico had been making since the mid-eighteenth century to raise their professional status. The use of intellectual foundations of painting such as the term “pinxit” were among the strategies used by New Spanish artists to assert their academic standing at the same level as European artists, and it evinces that Salazar was familiar with this practice.[2]
embody in the portrait. For instance, Dow’s wife, Angélica Monsanto Urquhart Dow, is holding a closed fan; this female adornment functioned as an expression of modesty and chastity at the time (fig. 15).[3] Finally, the miniature portrait by Salazar displayed in the case is of Angélica Dow, and it appears as a stiffer but near replica of her face in the three-quarter portrait, painted with different clothing and background, both lightened up to bring luminosity to the image (fig. 16)
Besides material culture, the theme of family relations and issues of religion and race are brought to the fore in this gallery. Dr. Dow was a Protestant Scot who emigrated to the West Indies first, and later moved to Louisiana. He married Angélica, born into a Sephardic Jewish family from The Hague. In 1769, Governor O’Reilly had invoked the Code Noir and expelled the Jewish families like Ms. Urquhart Dow’s from Louisiana, keeping their holdings.[4] Ms. Urquhart Dow’s family moved to the West Indies for a while, but later returned home. Dr. Dow and Angélica were required to marry in the Catholic Church. Moreover, the group portrait of Antonio Méndez and his wife and two children showed a New Orleans family where one member, Antonio, was born in Havana, Cuba. According to these examples, people of influence in the eighteenth century seem to have been on the move, either chasing opportunity or being forced to relocate, having to negotiate personal religious beliefs as well as the inconveniences of travel and settling in a new place. Finally, the portrait of Marianne Céleste Dragon Dimitry reveals how racial otherness could be disguised with the performance of taste at the turn of the century, but not fifty years later, as the wall label explains (fig. 17). While Dragon Dimitry sits erect and is holding flowers according to visual codes to express refinement, her portrait was the only one in the exhibition featuring a person of darker skin.[5] Period records suggest that she had mixed racial ancestry, yet she managed to move socially upward thanks to familial ties, wealth, and education. Her father was of mixed French, Canadian, American Indian, and possibly African ancestry, and this fact had serious consequences in the mid-nineteenth century, when a nephew of Dragon Dimitry was brought to court accused of being of African descent. Although the nephew won the case, the whole Dimitry family had to undergo the shame of being scrutinized over appearance and genealogy, including Dragon Dimitry herself, evincing the rigidity of racial codification at the time.
Next followed the largest space in the exhibition with several subsections about diverse topics, such as official portraiture, clothing, family bonds, and leisure. The grandest portrait was that of Luís Ignacio María Peñalver y Cárdenas, Bishop of the Diocese of Louisiana and the Floridas (fig. 18). This portrait measures eighty-five by fifty-two inches and cost one hundred pesos, a substantial increase from the prices of twenty and thirty pesos per bust previously mentioned. The bishop appears in a full-length, life-sized portrait, in which the sitter stands in the center of a room, possibly his office. He wears a bishop’s full regalia and has adopted a blessing gesture. To the traditional curtain swag, table, chair, pen, and inkwells have been added two mitres, representing his dual bishopric of Florida and Louisiana, and a cartouche—a Novohispanic device to add text related to the sitter in a portrait—indicating the sitter’s achievements and the year of the portrait’s execution.

Salazar’s daughter, also an artist, painted a copy of this portrait in 1803, according to the invoice hanging next to the portrait in the exhibition. The other official portrait was that of Don Andrés Almonester y Rojas Estrada, a man who worked as an official scribe in the Spanish court of Charles III before emigrating to New Orleans to pursue a government career (fig. 19). At the time the portrait was painted, Almonester y Rojas Estrada had become an influential benefactor, founding a hospital, a convent, and a girls’ school, and had been awarded a knighthood, as the blue-and-white bow insignia shows. He stands dressed in an official government uniform at the center of an indefinite space surrounded by a curtain swag, the family coat of arms, and the escutcheon recording his achievements.
Clothing played a key role in Salazar’s works. In his portrait, Almonester y Rojas Estrada wears the uniform of New Spain’s officers valid until 1792, in which the waistcoat was scarlet and the breeches dark blue. Vincent Rillieux is also attired in uniform, in his case with that of the Fijo de la Luisiana Regiment with a white coat and a blue collar worn over a blue waistcoat with a silver right epaulette (figs. 20, 21). He holds the tricorn hat under his right arm. And double agent General James Wilkinson appears wearing the 1790s version of an American officer’s uniform originally designed during the Revolutionary War (fig. 22). It consisted of a dark blue coat with buff trim, yellow waistcoat and breeches, and golden epaulettes; Wilkinson is also holding his tricorn under his right arm. Besides this world of uniforms there are other interesting sartorial cases, like the nearly identical choices of wealthy male civilians whose portraits hung next to each other, all wearing white cravats, white shirts and waistcoats, and black coats (fig. 23). Contained in a case was an empire gown owned by Marie Eugenie Rillieux Freret hanging next to the portrait of a young woman wearing a similar dress (figs. 24, 25). This section displayed how uniforms and civilian clothing changed over time, yet was highly coded in terms of profession, social class, and fashion.
Fig. 20, Salazar, Vincent Rillieux (1740–1800), ca. 1795. [view image & full caption]

Fig. 21, Wall label for Salazar, Vincent Rillieux. [view image & full caption]

Fig. 22, Installation view showing from left to right: Salazar, General James Wilkinson (1757–1825), 1799, and Salazar, Daniel William Coxe (1769–1852), 1792. [view image & full caption]

Fig. 23, Gallery of portraits of men in civilian garments. [view image & full caption]

Fig. 24, Empire dress owned by Marie Eugenie Rillieux Freret, ca. 1800. [view image & full caption]

Fig. 25, Salazar, Mary Minor Kenner (1778–1814), 1802. [view image & full caption]
Family bonds appeared in the most varied ways in this main space. There was a portrait of a baby, likely painted posthumously since portraits were usually painted to mark special occasions in adulthood, which speaks to the sense of loss that the family might have endured (fig. 26). The portrait of Captain Jerome Julien Vienne with one of his seven children is an unusual case, in which a father poses in uniform with his child (fig. 27). Vienne is seated at the center of the composition, and probably has his left arm akimbo to counterbalance the presence of the child on the other side of the portrait and keep the almost perfect symmetry. He wears the uniform of a Captain for the Spanish colonies, with the innovations introduced in 1792: gold lace edging the scarlet collar of the blue coat, and white waiscoat and breeches (141). The child adds a note of innocence to the scene by offering his father a rose, perhaps to celebrate his promotion as Captain, yet intended for the domestic sphere. Another representation of a domestic scene is the group portrait of The Family of Dr. Montegut (fig. 28). This depiction of children and parents together speaks not only to the new centrality of children in Anglo-American portraiture, but also to the trope of the musical family in which the family members engage in a recital to symbolize domestic harmony (163, 166). While the father holds the triangular medal of masonry showing that he belonged to the Masonic order, and the mother a basket with fruit, three of the children are holding musical instruments—a transverse flute and a square piano echoed by the real instrument standing in front of the painting—while a fourth child is in charge of turning the pages of the music book. Domestic bliss was probably one of the results of this educated form of leisure.
Recreation constituted an important theme in this exhibition, pictured not only in the musical family portrait but also in two others: the painted likeness of Clarice Le Duc Pedesclaux and her son Etienne Alexandre Pedesclaux shows an intimate scene in which the two sitters are physically interacting (fig. 29). The mother gives the son a piece of fruit in a visualization of her nurturing role, while the child holds a miniature kite, where the frill of the kite’s tail resembles the ruffled edges of his mother’s clothing and visually connects play and nurturing as essential characteristics of upbringing. More frivolous but equally revealing is the environment created with the portraits of Charles Laveau Trudeau and Charlotte Perraud Trudeau hanging above a backgammon set on a period Louisiana cabriole leg table to better illustrate the activity that is recorded in the paintings (figs. 30, 31). A game from ancient times, backgammon combines strategy with luck, and its inclusion in the portraits offers a peek into a wealthy couple’s leisure time. Their ludic engagement in the painting probably responded to a search for more informal forms of portraiture for the home, although Charles is wearing his Spanish uniform and Charlotte is dressed in high fashion, thus also projecting status for visitors to admire.
The depictions of wealth and leisure constituted the counterpoint to the invisibility of the institution of slavery in the exhibition, only briefly commented on in several of the catalogue articles. The first text panel mentioned the existence of African enslaved people in Louisiana, and the label of Captain Vienne’s portrait mentioned that he was an enslaved people’s trader. It is the catalogue that informs us of the arrival of massive numbers of enslaved people from the Senegambia region in New Orleans from 1718 to 1723; and that by the late eighteenth century, every upper class family of Mérida, Yucatán, owned one to two enslaved people who worked as domestic servants, in addition to the enslaved people who worked the fields (22, 45–46). Moreover, the reader learns that the governors protected the rights of the enslaved people in Louisiana, and that they were allowed to buy their freedom and manumit themselves constituting a new separate class. This situation was totally different on plantations though, where masters had more leeway to deal with their enslaved people. Finally, the catalogue comments on the large-scale importation of African enslaved people to Louisiana and the consequent boost of agricultural production in the 1780s, when planters expanded their fields and crops and turned New Orleans into a thriving port (61–62). This last piece of information seems especially relevant since the exhibition covers a period beginning in the 1780s when Salazar moved to Louisiana. The fact that vast amounts of enslaved people were brought to Louisiana to work in the fields and the subsequent improvement of the region’s economy seems a significant factor to be added to the reasons why Salazar migrated there.

In the same line of thought, the label of the casta painting De Español y Negra produce Mulato (From a Spaniard and a Black Woman, a Mulatto Is Produced; ca. 1785–90; first gallery) was a missed chance to discuss the presence of enslaved females originally from Africa and the phenomenon of interracial marriages. Casta painting is characterized in the catalogue and caption label by Mayela Flores Enríquez as a “painting of race” that “defined racial categories in an increasingly complex social hierarchy” (71). Perhaps due to budgetary or time constraints, no explanation was provided to help visitors understand the function of the casta painting either in this space or the exhibition at large. Casta painting is a complex genre because of the conflictive investment that both creators and patrons had in it. The extensive literature on this New Spanish pictorial genre elucidates that creole Mexican painters concocted the pseudo-ethnographic format to redefine their professional and social status in the eighteenth century. Creole artists sought to distance themselves from their competition among the Spanish, Indian, and ethnically mixed population who threatened their professional status, either by restricting their ambitions (Spaniards) or by damaging
their reputation selling poor quality art (Indian and castas).[6] In response, creole painters profiled themselves as academic painters fluent in a metropolitan artistic language through the use of educated references to the art of painting and the depiction of goods with diverse material surfaces. Spanish bureaucrats constituted the main clientele, and their desire to convey the idea of a harmonious and racially taxonomized society prevailed in the genre’s creation of vignettes divided by racial categories in which nuclear family units become types for their race, a genre as clearly idealized as flawed. It would have been desirable to use the labels to address any number of complex issues, such as period fashion, material culture, colonial society, race, and ethnicity.

Finally, under the title “Nexus New Orleans,” there were two galleries displaying the Ogden Museum’s collection of nineteenth-century portraits made in New Orleans. This section had a direct correlation to the article written by Katherine Manthorne in the catalogue with the same title, and in which the author discussed several painters’ activity in the thriving city (222–41). Three portrait paintings represented Thomas Sully’s work, as he was raised in New Orleans, while the family portrait of Mrs. James Robb and Her Three Children, a New Orleans family portrayed in Philadelphia while vacationing there, corroborates Sully’s southern connection (fig. 32). The portrait of Mrs. Hore Browse Trist by Jacques Guillaume Lucien Amans presented a likeness in the Neoclassical style and a necklace displayed next to it, the same the sitter was wearing, to keep the attention on material culture from the exhibition (fig. 33). The portraits by Adolph D. Rinck and Jean Jacob Fleischbein demonstrated the presence of German-born artists in New Orleans (fig. 34). Next to Rinck’s portrait and at the other side of the door hung the Portrait of a Bespectacled Gentleman, by Jean Joseph Vaudechamp, and these two portraits framed the entrance to the second gallery of this parallel exhibit with the painting The Carr Children by William Henry Baker (fig. 35). Remarkable in this space was the presence of Dominico Canova’s Mother Louisiana, designed following a pyramidal composition to depict an allegorical scene which praised the fertility and agricultural riches of Louisiana (fig. 36). Finally, John James Audubon’s chalk and pencil portrait of Captain Gilbert Morris attested to Audubon’s artistic activity in Louisiana, besides observing and rendering birds and teaching art classes (fig. 37). While this nineteenth-century portraiture section was instructive, it came as an odd ending to a show centered on a late eighteenth-century portrait painter where examples of other artists’ work appeared very scarcely. A survey of portraiture made in the same period of Salazar might have been a more effective closing, instead of giving preeminence to the city at the very end.
Fig. 32, Installation view of portraits of Mrs. Thomas Fitzgerald and Her Daughter Mathilda, ca. 1855, and Mrs. James Robb and Her Three Children, 1855.
[view image & full caption]

Fig. 33, Installation view showing portrait of Mrs. Hore Browse Trist (Marie Elisabeth Rosella Bringer, 1818–49), 1843.
[view image & full caption]

Fig. 34, Installation view showing left: Adolph D. Rinck, Portrait of a Woman with a Turkey-Feather Fan, ca. 1845–50, by Adolph D. Rinck; right: Jean Jacob Fleischbein, Le Fumeur, 1839. [view image & full caption]

Fig. 35, Installation view showing from left to right: Jean Joseph Vaudechamp, Portrait of a Bespectacled Gentleman, 1832; William Henry Baker, The Carr Children, ca. 1855; Adolph D. Rinck, Portrait of a Woman with a Turkey-Feather Fan, ca. 1845–50. [view image & full caption]
The exhibition *Salazar: Portraits of Influence in Spanish New Orleans, 1785–1802*, was an overdue and terrific opportunity to learn more about the work of this cross-border artist within the Spanish colonial world. The figure of Salazar in his two different locations and the changing circumstances of the colonial world were conveyed in a clear manner. Moreover, the material culture focus sensitively reconstructed the daily life of late eighteenth-century elite subjects and illuminated aspects of the portraits. The presence of objects left unexplained, like the second *casta* painting, or the absence of discussion relating to crucial period issues, like African peoples’ enslavement, weakened the discourse of an exhibition so well defended as an example of New Southern studies. Also, the ending section of the exhibition and its emphasis on nineteenth-century artists in New Orleans, while interesting, is not as revealing as an exploration of portrait painters active in Salazar’s time. Last but not least, the lack of content written in Spanish in the exhibition undermined its stated aim of celebrating the city’s Spanish heritage. The catalogue contained four essays that were reproduced both in English and Spanish, although the other four English essays offered no translation, emphasizing a low commitment to connect with a Spanish-speaking community. Issues aside, the exhibition was innovative and beautiful to see, and it will hopefully foster the organization of future shows concentrated on the turn of the eighteenth century in the Gulf of Mexico.

Alba Campo Rosillo  
PhD Candidate, Art History Department  
University of Delaware  
cmprsll[at]udel.edu
Notes

I am greatly indebted to the exhibition’s curator Cybèle Gontar for her generous help, and to scholars Anna Bianco and Sabena Kull for their thoughtful contributions to this text.


[4] The Code Noir or Black Code was an edict dictated by Louis XIV in 1686 that established rules to control enslaved people in the French territories.

[5] To learn more about the portrait of Marianne and the construction of race in eighteenth- and nineteenth-century Louisiana, see Dr. Wendy Castenell’s upcoming book based on her dissertation entitled “Color Outside the Line: Liminality and Creole Identity in Louisiana, Colonial Era to Reconstruction.” She argues that race was ambiguous and flexible, and that there was a constant tension between public and private status, allowing people to move between and among castes.
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Fig. 1, View of the exhibition entrance. [return to text]
Fig. 2, Installation view of the first gallery with the reproduction of the casta painting De albina y español, nace torna atrás (From an Albino Woman and a Spaniard, a Return-backwards Is Born), ca. 1785–90, to the left, and the case with the ledgers and Ormolu clock at the center. [return to text]

Fig. 3, Installation view of the gallery with the text panel and portraits of Martín Milony Duralde by Salazar and of William Charles Cole Claiborne by an unidentified artist. [return to text]
Salazar y Mendoza, Martín Milony Duralde (c. 1750–1812), after 1792, New Orleans, oil on canvas, 35 1/4 x 25 1/2 in. (90.0 x 64.8 cm). Collection of Dr. Martin D. Claborne II

Martín Duralde, a native of Vizcaya, Spain, served as surveyor under Pedro Piernas in Missouri and later as commandant of the Opelousas Post from 1795 until the end of Spanish rule. He penned observations of the Chitimacha and Atalapita Indians and noted the decretal rights of the Indians.

Salazar depicts Duralde wearing the new Spanish uniform decreed for all of the Spanish colonies in January 1792. It included, according to the historian Rene Chatteard, “the addition of golden lace edging to the scarlet collar of the blue coat, and substituting white waistcoats and breeches for the old scarlet waistcoat and blue breeches.” Spanish uniforms were provided to American revolutionary soldiers via New Orleans in 1777.

Duralde and his wife Marie Josephe Perroud had four daughters. Their youngest, Marie Clarisse Duralde (1779–1869), shows at right, married Lousiana’s first American governor William Charles Gie Claiborne in 1806. Their son Martin Aiken (b. 1815) married Susan Hart Clay, and another daughter married John Clay, the brother of Henry Clay. After her death, Clarisse’s son William C. C. Claborne II was raised at Ashland, the home of Henry Clay. Claborne II attended Transylvania University where he further studied painting & sculpture, though the identity of his subject is undetermined.

Fig. 4, Wall label for Salazar’s portrait of Martín Milony Duralde, after 1792. [return to text]

Fig. 5, Installation view of the first gallery with maps of the Gulf of Mexico, 1707, and of Louisiana that included a plan of the city of New Orleans, 1762, on both sides of the casta painting De Español y Negra produce Mulato (From a Spaniard and a Black Woman, a Mulatto Is Produced), ca. 1785–90. [return to text]
Fig. 6, Installation view of the second gallery with from left to right: reproduced documents; a case of miniatures; and several portraits. [return to text]
Fig. 7, Reproduction of the *Plan of the City of New Orleans*, ca. 1795. Watercolor on paper. Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte, Archivo General de Indias. Courtesy of the Archivo General de Indias, Seville. The red lines indicate the area destroyed by the fire that occurred on December 8, 1794.

[return to text]
Fig. 8, Reproduction of the DeJan invoice for the Salazar portraits, October 13, 1786. Louisiana State Museum, The Mint, New Orleans. [return to text]
Fig. 9, Installation view showing from left to right: Salazar, William Dunbar (1749–1810), after 1784; Salazar, James Mather (ca. 1750–1821), 1790s; the case with the St Louis Cathedral Finance Trustee Account Book (June 1756–May 1801). [return to text]
Fig. 10, Salazar, Madame Michiel Fortier II, née Marie Rose Durel (1784–1788), and Marie Félicité Julie Fortier (1779–1806), 1784–88. Oil on canvas. Collection of Peter W. Patout. [return to text]
Fig. 11, Installation view of the third gallery featuring the portrait of Dr. Robert Dow (1753–1841), 1791; his wife Angelica Monsanto Urquhart Dow (1744–1821), after 1784; and a fall-front desk from the late eighteenth century with period objects. [return to text]
Fig. 12, Another installation view of the third gallery with the portraits of Marianne Céleste Dragon Dimitry (1777–1856), ca. 1795; Antonio Méndez and His Family, 1795–99; and Marie Jeanne Bozonnier De Marmillion Loubies (1768–1833), ca. 1790; and with an open fan displayed on the wall, and a case containing a miniature painting set and the miniature portrait painting of Angelica Monsanto Urquhart Dow (1744–1821), after 1784. [return to text]
Fig. 13, Salazar, *Dr. Robert Dow* (1753–1841), 1791. Oil on canvas. Collection of Mr. and Mrs. Robert J. Patrick. [return to text]
Fig. 14, Salazar, Detail of *Dr. Robert Dow* (1753–1841), 1791. Oil on canvas. Collection of Mr. and Mrs. Robert J. Patrick. [return to text]
Fig. 15, Salazar, *Angelica Monsanto Urquhart Dow* (1744–1821), after 1784. Oil on canvas. Private Collection. [return to text]
Fig. 16, Salazar, *Angelica Monsanto Urquhart Dow* (1744–1821), after 1784. Watercolor on ivory with gold locket. The Historic New Orleans Collection, New Orleans. [return to text]
Fig. 17, Salazar, Marianne Céleste Dragon Dimitry (1777–1856), ca. 1795. Oil on canvas. Louisiana State Museum, New Orleans. [return to text]
Fig. 18, Installation view showing Portrait of Luís Ignacio María Peñalver y Cárdenas, Bishop of the Diocese of Louisiana and the Floridas, 1801, next to a case containing Salazar’s last will and testament written in a voluminous ledger. [return to text]
Fig. 19, Salazar, *Don Andrés Almonester y Rojas Estrada* (1728–1799), 1796. Oil on canvas. Archdiocese of New Orleans. [return to text]
Fig. 20, Salazar, *Vincent Rillieux* (1740–1800), ca. 1795. Oil on canvas. Private Collection.
Fig. 21, Wall label for Salazar, Vincent Rillieux.

The third son of François Rillieux (b. 1698–1760), originally of Lyon, France, and Marie Marguerite Alexandre de Chenu (c. 1732–1756) of Dauphin Island (Alabama Territory), Vincent Rillieux was born in 1769 in what is presently Passagoula, Mississippi. François Rillieux was one of French Louisiana's earliest settlers, arriving in 1720 and establishing himself near Bayou Bonneca, near the area known as Chenu today. Bawa, Vincent retained his surname. Rillieux is found to be a name that was not recognized as early as 1760. The Marguerite Chenu acquired a massive tract of land between Bayou Bonneca and the Pearl River from the Biloxi Indians. While portions of this property were sold over time, the Rillieux family's claim to the land ultimately was not recognized following the Louisiana Purchase, reaching in legal dispute that extended well into the nineteenth century.

On September 1, 1777, Rillieux married Marie Anastasie Tronquet (d. 1814) in St. Louis Cathedral. Rillieux, like many wealthy French Creoles, was a successful trader; he also served in the military under Spanish administration. He became well-regarded in Louisiana during the Revolutionary War for capturing an English ship; an incident that was detailed in the Gazette de Madrid in 1780. Salazar's portrait, the only known likeness of Rillieux, shows him wearing a white coat with a blue collar and over a blue waistcoat with a silver eight-epiastes depicting his arms, as a member of the Fijo de la Lusitana Regiment.

Rillieux, an ancestor of the Impressionist Edgar Degas, owned the property on Royal Street that today houses Bruson's restaurant.

Fig. 22, Installation view showing from left to right: Salazar, General James Wilkinson (1757–1825), 1799, and Salazar, Daniel William Coxe (1769–1852), 1792.
Fig. 23, Gallery of portraits of men in civilian garments. [return to text]
Fig. 24, Empire dress owned by Marie Eugenie Rillieux Freret, ca. 1800. Loom-woven cotton gauze. Louisiana State Museum, New Orleans. [return to text]
Fig. 25, Salazar, *Mary Minor Kenner* (1778–1814), 1802. Oil on canvas. City of Kenner, Louisiana.
Fig. 26, Salazar, *Maria Luisa Landreaux* (1793–1795), 1795. Oil on canvas. Collection of Mr. and Mrs. Robert J. Patrick. [return to text]
Fig. 27, Salazar, *Captain Jerome Julien Vienne* (1743–1799) and Child, 1787–99. Oil on canvas. The Historic New Orleans Collection, New Orleans. [return to text]
Fig. 28, Salazar, *The Family of Dr. Montegut*, 1797–1800, above a fortepiano, ca. 1790, and a Windsor chair, ca. 1790. [return to text]
Fig. 29, Salazar, *Clarice Le Duc Pedesclaux* (b. 1768) and *Her Son Etienne Alexandre Pedesclaux* (b. 1789), 1791. Oil on canvas. Collection of Mrs. Harold H. Stream.
Fig. 30, Installation view showing the portraits of Charles Laveau Trudeau and Charlotte Perraud Trudeau, ca. 1790, hanging above a backgammon set on a period Louisiana cabriole leg table.

[return to text]

Fig. 31, Detail of the backgammon set. [return to text]
Fig. 32, Installation view of portraits of Mrs. Thomas Fitzgerald and Her Daughter Mathilda, ca. 1855, and Mrs. James Robb and Her Three Children, 1855. [return to text]
Fig. 33, Installation view showing portrait of Mrs. Hore Browse Trist (Marie Elisabeth Rosella Bringer, 1818–49), 1843. [return to text]
Fig. 34, Installation view showing left: Adoph D. Rinck, *Portrait of a Woman with a Turkey-Feather Fan*, ca. 1845–50, by Adolph D. Rinck; right: Jean Jacob Fleischbein, *Le Fumeur*, 1839. [return to text]

Fig. 35, Installation view showing from left to right: Jean Joseph Vaudechamp, *Portrait of a Bespectacled Gentleman*, 1832; William Henry Baker, *The Carr Children*, ca. 1855; Adolph D. Rinck, *Portrait of a Woman with a Turkey-Feather Fan*, ca. 1845–50. [return to text]
Fig. 36, Dominico Canova, *Mother Louisiana*, ca. 1850. Oil on canvas. Roger Houston Ogden Collection.
Fig. 37, John James Audubon, *Captain Gilbert Morris* (1776–1847), 1821. Black chalk and pencil on paper. Collection of Mr. and Mrs. Robert J. Patrick. [return to text]