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Abstract: This article places John Singer Sargent’s _Madame X_ (1883–84) within the discourse of classical reception. It argues that as a “living statue,” Virginie Amélie Avegno Gautreau performed a role in society that observers found fashionable and alluring; however, critics panned the painting as displeasing and unnatural. This study contends that Gautreau claimed cultural agency within the social spaces of Parisian and Breton soirées, but her carefully constructed image became the object of scrutiny when displayed in the hallowed artistic space of the Paris Salon. The article further claims that conceptions about race and exoticism underlay the reaction, disallowing viewers of _Madame X_ to regard her whiteness as embodying ideal purity.
Virginie Amélie Avegno Gautreau: Living Statue
by Elizabeth L. Block

When Virginie Amélie Avegno Gautreau (1859–1915) presented herself in French society, both in Paris and at her country home, Les Chênes, in Paramé, people would gather to witness her beauty. Her renown made the papers throughout France, England, and the United States—from Maine to California. With her fair skin, red, upswept hair, and shapely figure, she was repeatedly described in classical terms: “a statue of Canova transmuted into flesh and blood and bone and muscle,” by one account. When it came to the reviews of John Singer Sargent’s portrait of her for the Salon of 1884, the infamous Madame X, however, the critics panned the painting as displeasing and unnatural, calling out the atrocious pale skin and the indecorous draping right strap (later repainted) of the formfitting black dress (figs. 1, 2).

Fig. 1, John Singer Sargent, Madame X (Madame Pierre Gautreau), 1883–84. Oil on canvas. The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York. Artwork in the public domain; image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art. [larger image]

Fig. 2, Photograph of Madame X as exhibited at the Salon of 1884, before repainting of shoulder strap and background. The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Thomas J. Watson Library. Artwork in the public domain; image © Metropolitan Museum of Art. [larger image]
Susan Sidlauskas has connected the upset over Gautreau’s pallor in *Madame X* to concerns about illness, but the comments also signify a rejection of her self-presentation.[2] This article places *Madame X* within the discourse of classical reception and argues that as a “living statue,” Gautreau performed a role that observers found fashionable and alluring. It was only when her image was fixed in place on canvas that her appearance became disturbing. Gautreau claimed cultural agency within the social spaces of Parisian soirées and the beaches of Brittany, but her carefully constructed image became the object of scrutiny when displayed in the hallowed artistic space of the Paris Salon. The article further contends that conceptions about race and exoticism underlay the reaction. As an expatriate in Paris, Gautreau was considered an outsider, as was Sargent, whose parents were also from the United States. A Louisianan Creole, Gautreau was of mixed European heritage but at times was mistaken for South American, then considered an “exotic” background, an aspect that may have disallowed viewers of *Madame X* to regard her whiteness as embodying ideal purity. Finally, the article disproves exaggerated accounts of Gautreau’s life after the Salon, demonstrating that rather than retreating, she resumed her distinctive place in fashionable society.

**A Living Statue**

The role of a “living statue” appropriated by Gautreau was similar to that by stage actresses. Plays such as William Schwenck Gilbert’s *Pygmalion and Galatea*, presenting Ovid’s myth of the sculptor who fell in love with his statue of an ideal woman, Galatea, to whom Venus granted life, debuted in London in 1871 and was revived in New York in 1883.[3] Actress trade cards showing Mary Anderson in classical costume for the role circulated widely (fig. 3). Society women on both sides of the Atlantic also dabbled in “statue-ness” when they attended fancy balls. In her 1880 book *Fancy Dresses Described: Or, What to Wear at Fancy Balls*, Ardern Holt prescribed costumes and hairstyles for a number of allegorical and classical characters including Diana, Galatea, Night, and Twilight.[4] On March 26, 1883, Mrs. William K. Vanderbilt (Alva Erskine Smith) hosted an infamous ball at her mansion at 660 Fifth Avenue in New York, thereby solidifying her place in high society. Twelve hundred guests arrived for the party in costume from multiple eras.[5] One of the six quadrilles that were danced was titled the Dresden Quadrille and featured women dressed and powdered in white to resemble porcelain figures come to life.[6] Newspapers and magazines, like *Godey’s Lady’s Book*, covered the event thoroughly, and photographs of guests in costume taken by Jose Maria Mora were distributed widely via cabinet cards (fig. 4). Tobacco companies like W. Duke, Sons & Co. issued series of cards with fancy dress ball costumes in cigarette packs meant for collecting.[7]
Perfomances of women as living statues and how they related their bodies to ancient aesthetics provide a useful framework for conceptualizing the construction of Virginie Amélie Avegno Gautreau’s appearance, both in the social space and in Sargent’s painting of 1883–84. Photographs from the time of her engagement to Pierre-Louis Gautreau (b. 1838–after 1924) offer a sense of the deliberate construction of her persona. The pictures were taken just prior to August 1878 when the nineteen-year-old Amélie married the forty-year-old Parisian banker and guano (fertilizer) importer.[8] Heavily staged in a photographer’s studio, the pictures provide a glimpse of the young woman before she had solidified her signature look. In a series of eight photographs, one of which is shown here (fig. 5), she wears a dark, ornamented dress with a low neckline.[9] Her hair is arranged with bangs framing her forehead followed by a braided band of hair. She wears earrings but no jewelry around her neck or in her hair.
The pictures, by an unknown photographer, are striking in their conventionality. After her marriage and introduction into French society, Gautreau was known for her conspicuousness and eccentricity. Her fashion taste and arresting appearance were the subjects of fervent comment. In 1880, a writer for the *New York Herald* expounded:

Mme. Gautherot [sic] may be so much as four-and-twenty. Her head is classical, and she wears her naturally wavy hair in Grecian bandeaux . . . At first sight one is literally stunned by her beauty, which her dress sets off. In shape and color the *ensemble* and the details are perfect. Mme. Gautherot is a statue of Canova transmitted into flesh and blood and bone and muscle, dressed by Félix, and coiffed by his assistant Émile. All her contours are harmonious. But she has yet to make the acquaintance of the Graces and to obtain possession of the girdle. I have seen her thrice in rapid succession. I know she is the loveliest creature I ever beheld coming out of the hands of a Paris dressmaker. . . . Mme Gautherot was dressed last night in a yellow silk dress, part of which was covered with a network of yellow beads and small white bugles. She also wore a necklace of diamonds, set in the classical style, a brooch, bracelets, and Greek bandalettes in her hair, sparkling with brilliants. A small Diana crescent was attached to her foremost bandalette. A murmur of admiration greeted her wherever she went. [10]

The article, representative of several others, provides a wealth of information about how Gautreau’s appearance was constructed and received. It reveals that within the two years since the engagement photographs were taken, she had assumed a classical inflection, with a countenance and hairstyle—culminating in a crescent jewel—inspired by ancient figures. That particular night, she wore an ensemble created by the *maison* Félix, complemented by antique-inspired jewelry. The shapeliness of her body proved noteworthy, both its contours and lack of customary undergarments. Other accounts indicate that in this guise she would sing at soirées to much acclaim—she was “l’éternelle Mme Gauhtereau.”[11] The French social historian Gabriel Louis Pringué recalled that the Duchesse d’Alençon, sister of Empress Elizabeth of Austria, met Gautreau and was “enchanted to admire a living statue.”[12] On
another evening, he remembered that she was “dressed in a white Grecian cloth which molded her superb figure . . . a veritable vision of the statue Diane de Gabies.”[13]

Gautreau modeled herself after mythic goddesses and after Empress Joséphine Bonaparte, retaining the look from at least 1880 through the 1890s, when she sat for portraits by Gustave Courtois in 1891 (Musée d’Orsay, Paris) and Antonio de La Gandara in 1897 (private collection). Her signature style of the chignon with its diamond crescent was consistently referred to as classic or Grecian.[14] From the early nineteenth century, ancient Greek and Roman beauty was considered the ideal expression of virtue.[15] Empress Joséphine popularized a classicizing style with the hair fastened in a high chignon, and curled tendrils falling over the forehead, ears, and nape of the neck.[16] At a party in February 1886, Gautreau wore an “Andromeda diadem” and at an event in December of that year her hair was “arranged like Josephine’s” and “crowned with a diadem of diamonds.”[17] Such classical allusions were associated with purity. The chignon was an age-old style in which the hair, sometimes enhanced by false pieces, was twisted into a knot at the nape of the neck or the top of the head. Most likely deriving from ancient Greece, the form was fixed and disciplined, worn by proper women in the nineteenth century, as promoted in fashion periodicals. Holt recommended it wholesale for classical costumes at fancy balls.[18]

The crescent was traditionally connected with the Greek goddesses Artemis and Selene, and the Roman goddess Diana, all identified with the moon. Holt prescribed a crescent ornament for the ball costumes of Hours, Night, Twilight, and Evening Star.[19] The emblem also would have been well known from such ancient sculptures as Selene (called Diana Lucifera by the Romans) in the Capitoline Museums in Rome (fig. 6), which had been reproduced in prints since the late eighteenth century.[20] Gautreau was referred to as “la belle Diane”; in turn, she was said to resemble Diane de Poitiers (1499–1566), a French noblewoman in the court of Francis I who also wore a crescent in her red hair.[21] At the time, Diana was associated with upper-class women as well as with “professional beauties,” as Gautreau was called, not yet with the femme fatale, as she would be in the early twentieth century.[22] Another professional beauty was Lillie Langtry (born Emilie Charlotte Le Breton; 1853–1929), a mistress of the Prince of Wales who became famous in London and turned to acting in 1881 when her husband, Edward Langtry, declared bankruptcy.[23] Theater designer and illustrator W. Graham Robertson recalled: “For the first time in my life I beheld perfect beauty. The face was that of the lost Venus of Praxiteles.”[24] She often posed for paintings and photographs wearing cosmetics (in 1899 she began promoting “Lillie Powder”) and a prominent crescent ornament (fig. 7).[25] Gautreau was referred to as the “Mrs. Langtry of France.”[26] In the late 1880s, Sargent’s master, Carolus-Duran (Charles-Auguste-Émile Durant), and Raimundo de Madrazo y Garreta would paint such eminent sitters as Madame Edgar Stern and the Marquise d’Hervey Saint-Denys, respectively, in the guise of Diana, both with crescent jewels in their hair.[27] In 1888, the Journal de Genève noted the trend of women posing for portraits wearing a diamond crescent, citing their imitation of Gautreau, who wore it “with so much success.”[28]
Whiteness

Enhancing the effect of ideal sculptural beauty was the matter of Gautreau’s whiteness. Gautreau famously lightened her skin, the hue of which she apparently achieved by applying rice powder. The cosmetics (maquillage) were referred to as her “enamelling” and were thought to augment her striking profile. [29] Author and critic Judith Gautier, a friend of Sargent, described her complexion as “where heliotrope is steeped in pink,” and Le Galois proclaimed her a “goddess of the night, all golden powder.” [30] The communicative value of her pale skin may be considered an attempt to accentuate the whiteness of her “make up” and claim a classical, as well as aristocratic, ideal. By whitening her skin, Gautreau was reaffirming her lightness, if not taking away color. She also added color by reddening her ears and hair, a choice that further emphasized her fairness, in the vein of blushing, a phenomenon that Angela Rosenthal has explicated as signifying whiteness and virtue. [31] Further, social historian Lois W. Banner explains that in the United States in the nineteenth
century, along with a slim waist and petite nose, mouth, feet, and hands, a pale complexion was associated with the refinement of nobility.[32]

An expatriate in Paris, Gautreau was already considered an outsider, but she was also associated with the exotic. At least two writers mistook her as South American, linking her with her husband’s business dealings in that continent. After misstating her home country as Peru, in spring 1880 *The Sunday Herald* (Washington, DC) wrote:

> Her husband is not Mr. Mitford, and she has not a dollar of Commodore Vanderbilt at her banker’s. Those diamonds were bought with the produce of sugar cane and coffee plantations. She was brought up in sub-tropical ease and listlessness, and among halfbreeds who have no notions about women’s rights, higher planes of thought, and transcendentalism. Her husband is a rich importer of colonial goods at Nantes and happy to see her enjoy herself in her own way.[33]

In addition to insulting her husband’s nouveau-riche status, making money off the colonies, the writer disparaged her proximity to indigenous peoples, denouncing them as unsophisticated. American journalist Theodore Child also referred to her as South American, and the French critic Louis de Fourcaud described her as “the Parisian woman of foreign extraction.”[34] In a genealogical study of the Avegno family, Robert de Berardinis classifies Gautreau as Creole, “a New Orleans blend of German, Austrian, French, Acadian, and Italian stock.”[35] The term “Creole,” meaning “mixed,” was used to refer to descendants of settlers in colonial Louisiana. Although the Avegno family was of European heritage, many other New Orleans Creoles possessed a blend of European, African, and Caribbean backgrounds, which seems to have led to erroneous assumptions about Gautreau. As New York’s *Town Topics* remarked in July 1887, “nine out of ten persons in the north think a Creole is a mulatto. In Louisiana to be a Creole is to be a patrician, a Mayflower New Englander, a Knickerbocker. Mrs. James Brown Potter, ‘the beautiful Mme Gauthereau [sic],’ General Beauregard, are Creoles.”[36] Her dual identity further aligned her with the image of Empress Joséphine. Joséphine hailed from an aristocratic Creole family from Martinique, a French colony in the Caribbean, and shrewdly incorporated elements of foreign costume into her dress as a way of communicating imperial reach.[37] As Joséphine had done for several portraits, in *Madame X*, Gautreau chose an uncorseted dress to offset her sinuous physique, a practice that recalled local preferences in warm climates like the American South.[38]

Joseph Baillio suggests that Gautreau’s hair ornament could refer to the “Crescent City,” a nickname for New Orleans, a cleverly placed tribute to the city where she was born and where her family held landed status.[39] New Orleans was known for its celebrations of Mardi Gras, derived from France, with masked parades and balls that were especially embraced by the Creole population. The festivities, held in the days leading up to Lent, were defined by masquerading, public display, and revelry; cross-dressing and white- and black-face were common practices. Engrained in New Orleans culture even before the city was annexed by the United States in 1803, Mardi Gras would have been part of the Avegno family’s milieu in Louisiana and perhaps also in Paris, where Americans also celebrated the holiday.[40] In June 1879, *Harper’s Bazaar* reported on American society in Paris: “As Mardi-Gras approaches, the climax of social activity is reached, and all sorts of novel entertainments take place.”[41] The tawdry side of dressing up for Mardi Gras, even if one
were to don classical garb (the holiday is thought to have pagan roots), points to another, parallel appropriation of allegorical and ancient costume. Burlesque shows often attempted to legitimize their productions by placing scantily clad actresses within a classical stage setting. [42] For example, the teenage American burlesque star Daisy Murdoch became famous for playing Cupid in *Orpheus and Eurydice* (1883–85) for the Bijou Opera Company, and a number of trade cards were issued showing her in a skimpy, sleeveless costume (fig. 8). [43]

![Fig. 8, Daisy Murdoch, issued by William S. Kimball & Company, 1889. Commercial color lithograph. The Metropolitan Museum of Art, The Jefferson R. Burdick Collection, New York. Artwork in the public domain; image © Metropolitan Museum of Art. [larger image]](image)

As Albert Boime, Richard Ormond, and Elaine Kilmurray have shown, Gautreau and Sargent were both Americans infiltrating the social scene in Paris, one courting high-end commissions and the other the most desirable acquaintances and soirées. [44] Andrew Stephenson has explored Sargent’s outsider status in several cities in Europe and the United States as contributing to the artist’s ability to capture the multiple and shifting identities of his sitters, especially in relation to nationalisms and race. [45] Sargent openly admired Gautreau’s use of cosmetics, writing in February 1883 to his friend Vernon Lee, an author on aesthetics, and particularly sculpture: “Do you object to people who are fardées to the extent of being uniform lavender or blotting paper colour all over? If so you would not care for my sitter. But she has the most beautiful lines and if the lavender or chlorate-of-potash-lozenge colour be pretty in itself I shall be more than pleased.” [46] Sargent tended toward theatrical sitters, and as Sidlauskas points out, in this era of Baudelaire, who wrote in favor of the artifice of cosmetics, Sargent did not mind, even relished, painting “a woman who had already painted herself.” [47] To Sargent, Gautreau’s use of cosmetics may have signaled an exoticness—in Lee’s words, “the exotic, far-fetched quality which always attracted John Sargent”—further drawing him toward Gautreau as a compelling subject. [48]

Soon after they met in 1882, Sargent wrote to his friend Ben del Castillo: “I have a great desire to paint her portrait and have reason to think she would allow it and is waiting for someone to propose this homage to her beauty.” [49] Gautreau agreed to have her image immortalized again—Zoe-Laure de Châtillon had already made a portrait—and this time by an up-and-coming society painter. [50] She sat for Sargent beginning in winter 1882–83 in
Paris, and then in summer 1883 at Les Chênes, promising him she would “pose morning and night for a week or two,”[51] but there were days when Gautreau seemed “quite bored and would like to be in Paris.”[52] Sargent executed numerous studies before settling on the final composition, and he continued to make adjustments to the canvas throughout the painting process.[53] In advance of the Salon, Carolus-Duran reportedly praised the painting, and upon Sargent’s completion of the canvas, *Le Gaulois* wrote that it “is said to be remarkable.”[54] Notoriously, when the finished painting was hung at the Salon of 1884, with the title *Portrait de Mme***, it was reproached by critics throughout Europe and the United States who objected to Gautreau’s overly pale skin and the draping strap of the dress.[55] Although they spoke about her sculptural beauty and modeling, the classical reception of the painting differed significantly from her reception in society.

The Classical Reception of *Madame X*

Having a new understanding of Gautreau’s penchant for a classically inspired presentation, it is now possible to look anew at *Madame X* and detect an effort to maintain that style in her painted representation. She is positioned in a cameo profile, and her red, upswept hair is ornamented by the crescent. The two-piece satin and velvet dress is unembellished aside from the jeweled straps, differing from the lacy, bustled designs that were then promoted in fashion magazines (fig. 9). Justine De Young recently demonstrated that although unusual, the dress in *Madame X* is not an anomaly for the early 1880s, citing sitters wearing black dresses with plunging necklines in Sargent’s portrait *Mrs. Harry Vane Milbank* of 1883–84 (private collection), and in two portraits of Madame Louis Singer in 1884 by Paul Jacques Aimé Baudry (Musée du Petit Palais, Paris, and private collection). She also specifies an evening dress by Hoschedé Rebours of about 1885 in the Metropolitan Museum of Art.[56] To this group may be added the cabinet cards of actress Jane Hading, also a client of Félix, in a black velvet dress with a plunging neck and back line from about 1885 (fig. 10).[57]

Fig. 9, *Journal des Demoiselles*, 1883. Costume Institute Fashion Plates, The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Gift of Woodman Thompson. Artwork in the public domain; image © Metropolitan Museum of Art. [larger image]
The designer of the ensemble in *Madame X* is unknown, but in contemporary accounts only one house has been associated with her—the aforementioned maison Félix. Félix, a couturier on the desirable rue de Faubourg Saint-Honoré was in business for fifty-five years and was in direct competition with the House of Worth.

The firm was founded by Joseph-Augustin Escalier (born ca. 1815) in 1846 and subsequently owned and run by brothers Auguste Poussineau (1831–1910) and Émile Martin Poussineau (called “Félix”; 1841–1930) until it closed its doors in 1901. The maison dressed members of European royalty and high society, including Empress Eugénie, Queen Margherita of Italy, Queen Maria Dona Pia of Portugal, Princess Maud of Denmark, and Elisabeth, de Caraman-Chimay, Comtesse de Greffulhe. After 1870, Félix became known for its actress clients, the most famous of whom were Sarah Bernhardt, Sophie Croizette, Ellen Terry (subject of Sargent’s 1889 portrait), and Lillie Langtry, as well as Réjane, Jane Hading, Ada Rehan, and Anna Marie Louise Damiens Judic (fig. 11). As they did with Worth, Doucet, and Redfern, singers and actresses pursued Félix and favorably boosted business. The maison embraced the power of performers’ influences on the latest outfits, making “a specialty of actresses’ wardrobes.” In 1882, the San Francisco *Daily Evening Bulletin* wrote, “The clever man tells the great ladies that the actresses copy from them—and he says to the actresses that they set the fashions for the great ladies. So both classes are pleased, and no one is any the worse.”
A highly respected couturier, Félix was known for embodying “Parisian taste: so elegant, so pure, so simple, opposed to fake flash and false pretension.” [65] Located at 15, rue Faubourg-Honoré from at least 1857, the business eventually employed more than three hundred workers and by 1888 offered lingerie, hosiery, flowers, bonnets, muffls, “and a hairdresser at one’s service.” [66] There is no evidence that the maison was responsible for creating the black dress in Madame X, but Félix was known for producing a slim and refined silhouette that would have suited Gautreau’s figure and taste. [67] Given Félix’s involvement in designing stage outfits for actresses and singers, the dress may be regarded as a costume, part of Gautreau’s performance as a living statue. This is not to say that the dress is neoclassical—it certainly differs from the white, unstructured, Empire-waisted gowns of the 1790s to 1810s—but it demonstrates an effort toward timelessness that dissociates it from the embellished dresses with decorative patterns and voluminous skirts that appeared in fashion periodicals and those that she wore at the time of her engagement. As fashion historian Harold Koda explains, “Often, the semiotic elements that transform contemporaneous fashion into a classical style are derived not from the somewhat scant evidence of the realities of classical dress but from representational effects seen in sculpture and painting.” [68] In addition, the exposure of her neck, arms, and shoulders—even with one shoulder completely bare—would have been familiar from ancient goddess sculptures and neoclassical sculptures of the early to mid-nineteenth century in Europe and the United States. [69] As Koda points out, a chiton (a basic sleeveless garment), baring one or both breasts was associated with sculptural representations of Artemis, among other goddesses, as well as Amazons (fig. 12). [70]
The American actress Mary Anderson starred as Galatea, Pygmalion’s living statue, in the aforementioned performance of Gilbert’s play in 1883. Cabinet cards offer a sense of how her classical costume was interpreted on stage—in one image the upper right armband is in the same placement as Gautreau’s strap (see fig. 3).[71] The same year, Anderson also played the role of Parthenia in Friedrich Halm’s Ingomar, the Barbarian in London, for which images also circulated.[72] For Gautreau’s classical enactment in Sargent’s painting, her pale skin appears as smooth as alabaster, especially in cameo-like contrast to the black dress and red hair.[73] Her right hand grasps the top of a mahogany table, its legs decorated with what appear to be Sirens, mythic winged female figures known for their alluring, but dangerous singing, perhaps a reference to Gautreau’s reputation as a chanteuse.[74] Finally, she stands in a timeless, nondescript space.

The critics’ accounts make clear, however, that her classical mode in the Salon painting was unacceptable. Although writers like Gautier spoke of Gautreau’s “chimerical beauty” and invoked Diana and other goddesses in their reviews, in the end, the exposure of her whitened flesh was considered distasteful.[75] William Crary Brownell questioned the decency of Gautreau’s “maquillage and décoloration” (makeup and discoloration) and exposure of so much of her body, which he associated with artifice, rather than purity.[76] In La Nouvelle Revue, Paul Arène reported Salon visitors exclaiming, “the hair is dyed, the flesh made-up.”[77] The artist Marie Bashkirtseff, who viewed Madame X at the Salon, recalled, “she paints her ears rose and her hair mahogany. The eyebrows are traced in dark mahogany color, two thick lines.”[78] The Art Amateur appreciated the “perfectly, austerely plain” black dress, but said that her face resembled “a female clown in a pantomime.”[79] Most other writers regarded the dress, especially the fallen right strap, as indecorous and the pale skin as deathlike pallor, rather than the pure white, sculptural ideal.[80] William Sharp wrote in London’s Art Journal: “The flesh painting—and Mr. Sargent has not stinted himself as to space—has far too much blue in it, and the result of the artist’s experiment or wilful indifference, whichever it is, more resembles the flesh of a dead than a living body.”[81] Sidlauskas has connected the comments about lifelessness to concerns at the time about tuberculosis and syphilis, and the
use of cosmetics to mask illness, but comments such as those by Sharp also resonate as a rejection of Gautreau's self-presentation in the painting.[82]

The critics' repudiation of Gautreau's statue-ness in the portrait may be understood as a refusal of her cultural agency, and the transformation of her into an object of scrutiny. In other words, there was a schism between the classical reception of Gautreau, the woman, in society, and of her representation in a formal Salon painting. Gautreau's performances occurred ephemerally at parties and by the seaside, and to a self-selecting audience who were familiar with the role of living sculpture from the theater, especially burlesque. There, the way she moved her body through space was a key aspect of the performance. As the American painter Edward Simmons recalled of seeing Gautreau in Paris: “Representing a type that never has appealed to me (black as spades and white as milk), she thrilled me by the very movement of her body. She walked as Vergil speaks of goddesses—sliding—and seemed to take no steps.”[83]

Her whitened, classical aspect only became problematic when Sargent fixed it on canvas and presented it in the elevated world of the Parisian Salon. In his review, Claudius Laverge for L’Univers disparaged the effort: “painters must not literally translate these flowers of rhetoric.”[84] In the artistic space of the Salon, Gautreau lost her ability to control her self-presentation, and her appropriation of a classicizing character came into question when it was negatively associated with theatricality as well as her outsider, Creole heritage.

The aftermath of the Salon bears out the crucial difference in reception between Gautreau the woman and Gautreau the portrait subject. Importantly, Gautreau was initially pleased with the painting, writing as a postscript on Sargent’s letter to their mutual friend, the writer and translator Emma Marie Allouard-Jouan, in summer 1883 from Les Chênes: “Mr. Sargent made a masterpiece of the portrait, I am anxious to write it to you because I am certain he will not tell you.”[85] After hearing the negative reactions of the press and of her socially conscious mother, however, she turned against the painting, while Sargent defended it by saying that he painted her “exactly as she was dressed.” He refused to remove the painting from the Salon, but eventually repainted the strap of the right shoulder in an upright position.[86] Finding that “For a year or two in Paris, I had so few commissions, probably the effect of the Gautreau disaster,” he settled in London in spring 1886.[87] In 1916, Sargent sold the painting to the Metropolitan Museum, having declared the previous year to director Edward Robinson: “I suppose it is the best thing I have done.”[88]

Contrary to sensationalized accounts that have Gautreau retreating from society and living as a recluse after the Salon, she promptly resumed her role as a living statue. She continued to wear classical dress and jewelry—in February 1885, the New York Times referred to her as a “piece of plastic perfection.”[89] Three years after the Salon, she made a theatrical debut (“to follow Mrs. Langtry’s example and go on the stage in earnest”), and she went on to host and sing at parties as late as 1902.[90] The brisk resumption of Gautreau's activity underscores her control over her presentation in the social space, an agency that was only briefly lost while her portrait hung on the wall of the Salon. By reading Madame X through the lenses of classical reception and whiteness, this article has broadened our understanding of how Gautreau’s complex role in society complicated the response to her most famous portrait.
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Notes

All translations are by the author unless otherwise indicated.


[8] Deborah Davis reproduces the bride’s signature on the marriage contract (Contract de Mariage entre M. Pierre-Louis Gautreau et Mademoiselle Avegno. Paris, M. Deves, notary,
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1878); Deborah Davis, Strapless: John Singer Sargent and the Fall of Madame X (New York: Penguin, 2003), 42.

[9] The group of photographs in the Médiathèque de l'Architecture et du Patrimoine, Charenton-le-Pont, France, also includes two of Virginie Amélie Avegno in her wedding dress, and two of Pierre Gautreau in ceremonial military uniform.


[11] The quotation is from “Nouvelles and Echos,” Gil Blas, April 20, 1883, 1 (with the surname spelled “Gauthereau,” one of several variants); see also “Nouvelles and Echos,” Gil Blas, March 1, 1882, 1; “Le Monde et La Ville,” Le Gaulois, January 4, 1884, 2; “Nouvelles and Echos,” Gil Blas, February 12, 1884, 1.


[14] See, for example, “Télégrammes et Correspondences,” Le Figaro, August 30, 1881, 3; “La Vie Parisienne, Les Belles Parlementaires,” Gil Blas, January 30, 1902, 1; Pringué, 212.
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